

## NOTE ON THE PERIODIC POINTS OF THE BILLIARD

LUCHEZAR STOJANOV

Marek Rychlik [2, Theorem 1.1] proves that for any bounded convex domain  $\Omega$  in a Euclidean plane  $\mathbf{R}^2$  with smooth boundary  $X = \partial\Omega$  the set  $\text{Fix}_3$  of all periodic points of period 3 of the billiard ball map related to  $\Omega$  has empty interior in its (two-dimensional) phase space  $M_\Omega$ . The last part of the proof of this theorem, considered in [2], involves a symbolic computation system. In this note a short elementary argument is presented which completes the proof in [2] without use of any computer programs. Combining this argument with §3 in [2], one gets also a direct proof of Theorem 1.2 of [2]:  $\text{Fix}_3$  has Lebesgue measure zero.

We use the notation from [2], and state the results of [2] in a little more general form.

**Theorem.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded (note necessarily convex) domain in  $\mathbf{R}^2$  with  $C^3$ -smooth boundary  $X$ . Then  $\text{Fix}_3$  has empty interior and Lebesgue measure zero in  $M_\Omega$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  be the successive (transversal) reflection points of a periodic billiard trajectory in  $\Omega$ . Consider a natural parametrization  $h_i(x_i)$ ,  $x_i \in \mathbf{R}$ , of  $X$  around  $y_i$  with  $\|h'_i(x_i)\| \equiv 1$ ,  $\cos \varphi_i = \langle e_i, \nu_i \rangle > 0$ , where  $\nu_i = \nu(x_i)$  is the unit normal to  $X$  at  $h_i(x_i)$ , pointing into  $\Omega$ ,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the natural inner product in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ ,  $\varphi_i$  is the angle between  $e_i$  and  $\nu_i$ ,  $0 < \varphi_i < \pi/2$ , and

$$e_i = \frac{h_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) - h_i(x_i)}{\|h_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) - h_i(x_i)\|}.$$

One can introduce  $\Phi_i$  and  $\widehat{\Phi}_i$  simply by setting  $\Phi_i = \cos \varphi_i$  and  $\widehat{\Phi}_i = \sin \varphi_i$ . Then, if  $h_i(x_i)$  are the reflection points of a periodic trajectory, a simple computation gives

$$\frac{\partial l(x_i, x_{i+1})}{\partial x_i} = -\langle e_i, h'_i \rangle = -\cos \varphi_i = -\Phi_i = -\frac{\partial l(x_{i-1}, x_i)}{\partial x_i}.$$

Set  $k_i = k_i(x_i) = \langle h_i''(x_i), \nu_i \rangle$ ; then  $h_i''(x_i) = k_i \nu_i$  ( $k_i$  is in fact the curvature function along  $h_i(x_i)$ ). Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 l(x_i, x_{i+1})}{\partial x_i^2} &= -\langle e_i, h_i'' \rangle + \frac{1}{l(x_i, x_{i+1})} - \frac{\cos^2 \varphi_i}{l(x_i, x_{i+1})} \\ &= \frac{\sin^2 \varphi_i}{l(x_i, x_{i+1})} - k_i \sin \varphi_i. \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way one finds

$$\frac{\partial^2 l(x_i, x_{i+1})}{\partial x_i \partial x_{i+1}} = \frac{\sin \varphi_i \sin \varphi_{i+1}}{l(x_i, x_{i+1})},$$

which implies that the matrix  $d^2 \mathcal{L}_n(x)$  has the form (2.11), with (2.12) of [2]; these formulas are not new; cf., for example, [1] or [3].

Now suppose that  $\text{Fix}_3$  contains a nonempty open subset of the phase space  $M_\Omega$ . We may assume that  $y = (y_1, y_2, y_3) \in U \subset \text{Fix}_3$  for some open connected subset  $U$  of  $M_\Omega$ . Since  $U$  consists of critical points of  $\mathcal{L}_3$ , we have

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{L}_3(x) = l_1 + l_2 + l_3 = c = \text{const}$$

for all  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$  with  $(h_1(x_1), h_2(x_2), h_3(x_3)) \in U$ . As in Proposition 2.1 of [2] one gets

$$(2) \quad k_1 = \frac{(l_2 + l_3 - l_1) \sin \varphi_1}{2l_2 l_3} = \frac{(c - 2l_1) \sin \varphi_1}{2l_2 l_3}.$$

By the Cosyne theorem (cf. Lemma 2.4 in [2]),  $\sin \varphi_1 = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{c(c - 2l_1)/(l_2 l_3)}$ . Combining the latter with (2) we find

$$(3) \quad 4k_1^2 = g(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{c(c - 2l_1)^3}{l_2^3 l_3^3},$$

which is (2.20) of [2] for  $i = 1$ . Applying Proposition 2.2 of [2] and differentiating (3) in direction  $(0, -\hat{\Phi}_3, \hat{\Phi}_2) = (0, -\sin \varphi_3, \sin \varphi_2)$ , one obtains

$$(4) \quad 0 = \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2}(x_1, x_2, x_3)(-\sin \varphi_3) + \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_3}(x_1, x_2, x_3)(\sin \varphi_2).$$

On the other hand, (3) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} &= \frac{3c(c-2l_1)^2}{l_2^3 l_3^3} \left( -2 \frac{\partial l_1}{\partial x_2} \right) - \frac{3c(c-2l_1)^3}{l_2^3 l_3^4} \left( \frac{\partial l_3}{\partial x_2} \right) \\ &= \frac{3c(c-2l_1)^2 \sin \varphi_2}{l_2^3 l_3^4} [2l_3 - (c-2l_1)] \\ &= \frac{3c(c-2l_1)^2 (c-2l_2) \sin \varphi_2}{l_2^3 l_3^4} > 0, \\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_3} &= \frac{3c(c-2l_1)^2}{l_2^3 l_3^3} \left( -2 \frac{\partial l_1}{\partial x_3} \right) - \frac{3c(c-2l_1)^3}{l_2^4 l_3^3} \left( \frac{\partial l_2}{\partial x_3} \right) \\ &= -\frac{3c(c-2l_1)^2 \sin \varphi_3}{l_2^4 l_3^3} [2l_2 - (c-2l_1)] \\ &= -\frac{3c(c-2l_1)^2 (c-2l_3) \sin \varphi_3}{l_2^4 l_3^3} < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the right-hand side of (4) is strictly negative, which is a contradiction. This proves the first part of the theorem.

For the second part, suppose that  $\text{Fix}_3$  has positive Lebesgue measure in  $M_\Omega$ . Then (1) might be not true; however, assuming that  $y$  is a Lebesgue density point of  $\text{Fix}_3$ , we have  $\mathcal{L}_3(x) = c + \mathcal{O}(\|x\|^2)$ ,  $c = \text{const}$ , for  $(h_1(x_1), h_2(x_2), h_3(x_3)) \in \text{Fix}_3$  close to  $y$ . Now using the argument from §3 of [2] and a modification of the above argument, one gets that (4) again holds.

## References

- [1] A. Katok & J.-M. Strelcyn, In collaboration with F. Ledrappier and F. Przytycki, *Smooth maps with singularities: Invariant manifolds, entropy and billiards*, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1222, Springer, Berlin, 1986.
- [2] M. Rychlik, *Periodic points of the billiard ball map in a convex domain*, J. Differential Geometry **30** (1989) 191–205.
- [3] L. Stojanov, *An estimate from above of the number of periodic orbits for semi-dispersed billiards*, Comm. Math. Phys. **124** (1989) 217–227.